

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

150		2000 1841		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/196,013	11/19/1998	NORIO KOMA	5586D-7076	8659
07/170,013				

26021

08/12/2002

HOGAN & HARTSON L.L.P. 500 S. GRAND AVENUE SUITE 1900

LOS ANGELES, CA 90071-2611

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, FRANCIS.N

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
2674

DATE MAILED: 08/12/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				A 11 - 4/-1				
•p"		Application No.		Applicant(s)	•			
Office Action Summary		09/196,013		KOMA, NORIO				
		Examiner	· ·	Art Unit				
		FRANCIS NGUY		2674				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover	sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
Period fo	ORTENED STATUTÖRY PERIOD FOR REPL	V IS SET TO EXE	DIRE 3 MONTH	(S) FROM				
THE ! - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, howe	ver, may a reply be tir imum of thirty (30) day SIX (6) MONTHS from become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this con D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.			
3iaius 1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29	July 2002 .						
2a)□	•	his action is non-fi	nal.	•				
3)	and the formal method proposition as to the merits is							
Disposit	closed in accordance with the practice under ion of Claims	r Ex parte Quayle,	1933 C.D. 11,	433 0.0. 213.				
=	Claim(s) <u>1,3-5 and 7-19</u> is/are pending in the	e application.						
الحار -	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
•	Claim(s) <u>1,3-5, 7-18</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊡	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) 🗌	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election require	ment.					
• -	ion Papers	-						
, —	The specification is objected to by the Examin							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to t	the drawing(s) be he	od b\□ disapp	See 37 CFR 1.65(a).	ar			
11)[The proposed drawing correction filed on			TOVED by the Examine	āl.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
•	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	zammer.						
_	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	an priority under 3	5110 C 8110	(a)-(d) or (f)				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gir priority under 5	3 0.0.0. 3 110	(a)-(a) or (i).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	nto have been rec	eived					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 							
	application from the International E See the attached detailed Office action for a li	Bureau (PCT Rule st of the certified o	17.2(a)). copies not recei	ved.				
14)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	stic priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119	e(e) (to a provisional	application).			
15)	 a) The translation of the foreign language packnowledgment is made of a claim for dome 	orovisional applica estic priority under	tion has been re 35 U.S.C. §§ 1	eceived. 20 and/or 121.				
Attachme								
2) Not	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	4)	Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No al Patent Application (PT				
IIS Patent and	Trademark Office							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Offi PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

Art Unit: 2674

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 6/3/02 and 7/29/02 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

 A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --
 - (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Art Unit: 2674

Claims 1 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by McKnight (U.S. Patent 6,144,353).

As to claim 1, McKnight discloses a liquid crystal display(LCD system 12, column 8, lines 48-51) having liquid crystal sandwiched between a pair of substrates (electro-optic layer 22, figure 1A, column 3, line 61) having electrodes (pixel electrode 28 and common electrode 26, column 8, lines 56-64) for driving the liquid crystal based on respective R, G, and B signals (figure 2C, three different driving waveforms 154/155/156) to control transmittance of each of the R light component, G, and B light components for color display, wherein each of upper limit values of ranges for driving voltages respectively for R display, G display, and B display(display of first color subframe, second color subframe and third color subframe, figures 3A and 3B) . Note figure 2C indicates the intensity R/G/B display at t2, t4 and t6, and control voltages of common electrode Vcg, also overdrive voltage (column 9, lines 62-63). Note the corresponding one-to-one relationship between driving voltage and intensity as illustrated in figure 2B and note the separate display of R light, G light, B light in figure 2C.

As to claim 5, note the same citations for claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2674

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3, 4, 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McKnight in view of Sawada (U.S. Patent 6,078,317).

As to claims 3 and 7, McKnight fails to teach gamma correction.

Sawada discloses gamma characteristic adjustment circuit 19(figure 1, column 6, lines 63-65) for LCD display. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize the apparatus of McKnight then add a gamma adjustment circuitry, as taught by Sawada, to obtain the combined apparatus McKnight-Sawada, because it would result in proper luminance on LCD display. The amended phrase "without control voltage...simultaneously" does not change ground of rejection.

Art Unit: 2674

As to claims 4 and 8, McKnight-Sawada does not expressly teach poly-Si TFT. However, fabrication and application to Active Matrix LCD is well known in the ar at the time of the invention. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to make use of poly-Si TFT as switching elements in the apparatus of McKnight-Sawada because it would result in increased aperture ratio, production yield and increase of pixel density in LCD display.

As to claims 9-18, see the same citations above. The ground of rejection is the same as that of claims 1, 3-5, 7-8.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to FRANCIS N NGUYEN whose telephone number is 703 308-8858. The examiner can normally be reached during hours 8:00 AM- 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **RICHARD A HJERPE** can be reached at 703 305-4579.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

Art Unit: 2674

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

FRANCIS N NGUYEN

Examiner Art Unit 2674

August 9th 2002